

AT&T Services, Inc. 1120 20<sup>th</sup> St. NW, Suite 1000 Washington, D.C. 20036 202.457.3055 - Phone 202.457.3074 - Fax

September 28, 2011

## **ELECTRONIC SUBMISSION**

Marlene H. Dortch Secretary Federal Communications Commission 445 12<sup>th</sup> Street, SW Washington, D.C. 20554

## **EX PARTE**

Re: **MD Docket No. 10-234**: Amendment of Part 1 of the Commission's Rules, Concerning Practice and Procedure, Amendment of CORES Registration System

Dear Ms. Dortch:

In AT&T Inc.'s May 18, 2011 Reply Comments in the above-referenced rulemaking, which bears upon proposed changes to the Commission's CORES Registration System, AT&T raised concerns about the impact the Commission's proposals might have on "disregarded entities."

By this letter, AT&T wishes to notify the Commission that it withdraws these concerns and that it no longer believes that the Commission needs to create an exception to its proposal for disregarded entities. That said, however, AT&T still stands by its conclusion that the Commission's Option 2 is the better of the two proposals set out in the *Notice*.

You may direct any questions concerning this written presentation to me.

Sincerely,

/s/ William A. Brown

cc: Warren Firschein

Office of Managing Director

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Amendment of Part 1 of the Commission's Rules, Concerning Practice and Procedure, Amendment of CORES Registration System, Notice of Proposed Rulemaking, MD Docket No. 10-234, FCC 10-192 (rel. Dec. 7, 2010) (Notice).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Reply Comments of AT&T Inc., pp. 2-3 (Mar. 18, 2011): "A 'disregarded entity' is an entity that is recognized for state law purposes, but which is ignored for federal income tax purposes; said another way, for federal income tax purposes, its revenues and losses are passed through to the entity's owner(s)." *See*, *e.g.*, Treas. Reg. 1.368-2(b)(1)(i)(A); Treas. Reg. 301.7701-3(a).